

RESEARCH PROGRAM ON



Draft Minutes of WHEAT-Independent Advisory Committee Meeting, 10 December 2014, Istanbul

Note: To accommodate current CGIAR terminology, WHEAT-ISC now called WHEAT-Independent Steering Committee (WHEAT-ISC)

W-ISC Members present:, Yiching Song, Tony Fischer, Shirish Barwale, Nora Lapitan, John Porter, Rachid Dahan, Ephrame Havazvidi; Ex-officio:, Masum Burak, Marianne Banziger (for Tom Lumpkin), Mahmoud Solh, Hans Braun, Tom Payne (for Neal Gutterson)

Apologies: Emilio Ruz, Neal Gutterson

Other participants: Victor Kommerell (CIMMYT, WHEAT Program Mgr / WHEAT-ISC Secretary), Phillipe Ellul (Consortium Office), observer

		WHEAT Global partners meeting on Phase II (2017-22?) / 8-9 th		
		December 2014, Istanbul		
		 WHEAT-ISC members attend all or 2nd day, according to 		
		availability		
Indicativ	Item	WHEAT-ISC / Wed, 10 th December, Istanbul	Docs	
e Time			provided	
9:00	А	WHEAT-ISC Opening of the meeting		
		 Welcome new members 	Agenda doc,	
		 Adoption of Agenda 	Minutes	
		 Approval of Minutes (from Sept 2014 meeting) 		
		WHEAT-ISC Governance		
9:30	В	 Onboard new members: Q&A about role and responsibilities of 		
		W-ISC	Minutes Doc B1	
		 Endorse updated Terms of Reference for WHEAT-ISC 	DOC BI	
		 Agree on Terms of Reference for an independent W-ISC Chair 		
		 Proposal of candidates for Chair (self; proposed by voting 		
		member) and select (by simple majority, given quorum of 6/8)		
		Should WHEAT-ISC receive an honorarium?		
10:30	С	How WHEAT-ISC should engage in Phase II		
		 Understand CGIAR Research Program Proposal for Phase II 	Doc C1	
		(2017-22?) process (which runs 2015-16)		
		 When should WHEAT-ISC engage, on what, how? What is time 		
		investment needed?		
12:00		Close of WHEAT-ISC meeting		
		Joint WHEAT-ISC & WHEAT-MC meeting and lunch (see p.3-4)		
12:15	D What should WHEAT research scope & structure (FPs, CoAs) look like		Doc D1	
		during Phase II (2017-22?)		
		 What have we learnt about the future WHEAT research scope 		
		and structure from global partners' meeting, CIMMYT-ICARDA		
		meeting, WHEAT-ISC feedback?		
		 What conclusions can we draw and what major open issues 		
		remain?		
13:30		Joint Lunch		



RESEARCH PROGRAM ON Wheat



WHEAT-ISC DECISIONS OF 10th DEC 2014

WHEAT-ISC DECISIONS OF 10 th DEC 2014	\A/h-a	Dire	Commonte
Decision, Recommend, To Do	Who	Due	Comments
Agenda item A; WHEAT-ISC Opening of the meeting			
Decision: Agenda adopted and Sept 2014 minutes approved	W-ISC	DONE	
Members' review of To Do's from previous meetings:			
- W-ISC ToRs: Form selection committee with DG: Only becomes relevant if Hans			
Braun not confirmed after 1 year as CRP Director			
 GM/transgenics proclamation to CGIAR Consortium: See To Do below 			
 Preliminary Findings from External Evaluation: Webinar date is set for 17th Dec 			
Agenda item B WHEAT-ISC Governance			
Decisions:			
 Agree final version ToRs Independent W-ISC Chair & ToRs W-ISC (see p.5-6), 	Victor	W-ISC	Send updated
based on comments made, by mid-January			ToRs W-ISC & Chair for
2. Tony Fischer proposed as candidate by Barwale & Porter, elected as Chair until			approval, on no-
December 2016, by unanimous vote			objection, virtual
3. W-ISC members to receive a honorarium of \$400 times 5 days per meeting;			basis, by mid-
CIMMYT BoT travel policy will apply (e.g. business class travel if flight longer			January 2015; 1-
than 4 hours); Reimburse Members directly for actual expenses, not to receive			week turn-
a per diem; ad hoc occasional travel to wheat-related stakeholder events,			around time
which meets W-ISC ToRs and objectives, if approved by W-ISC Chair, in			
consultation with the CRP Director.			
Recommendation to Chair: Put on W-ISC agenda presentations on specific			
science/R&D issues for discussion.			
To Do: Check whether W-ISC members are insured when on W-ISC business			
Agenda item C How WHEAT-ISC should engage in Phase II			
Decision: Review draft W-ISC declaration on GM/transgenics research by mid-	Kevin,	W-ISC	Draft text for
January. Agreed that following points be added:	Victor		review by mid-
 Support UPOV, support IPG, patents are for inventions not for hard work 			January 2015
 Make reference to CGIAR Declaration on Biotech 			
 Also big issue: Stewardship on the ground 			
- Public sector to invest more in cap dev to better deal with GM IPR issues			
Recommendation: Make sure publication on Partner Priorities Survey is widely	Hans,	Mike,	Mid-February
shared, in particular with R&D partners. This increases chances of partners	Victor	Comms	2015
participating in another future survey. Consider turning partner consultations into a			
series, or similar. W-ISC notes that opportunity is now lost to get more			
representative sample for Latin America, North Africa. Note: Report featured on			
home page of http://repository.cimmyt.org/xmlui/handle/10883/4070			
ISBN: 978-607-8263-41-7	 		
Decision: W-ISC to act as reviewers of pre-proposal (due either 30Jun15 or	Hans,	W-ISC, W-	Prepare overview of WHEAT-MC –
15Aug15), expected to take place in Feb and again 1 st week of June 2015.	Victor	MC	IAC intervention
<u>Recommendation to W-MC:</u> Similar to IPCC process, invite global wheat research			points in Phase II
community to comment on pre-proposal	Terr	11	
Next meetings	Tony	Hans	Finalize dates ASAP
 Anticipated to take place just before CIMMYT BoT 12-13 Oct 2015 			ASAP
 Separate meeting may be needed for W-ISC input on Phase II Full 			
Proposal, between Dec 2015 (after Consortium Board / Fund Council final			
decision on CRPs pre-proposal in Nov) and end March 2016 (Full Proposal			
is due 30 th April 2016).	1		



RESEARCH PROGRAM ON Wheat



Joint WHEAT-ISC & WHEAT-MC meeting and lunch

What should WHEAT research scope & structure (FPs, CoAs) look like during Phase II (2017-22?)

- What have we learnt about the future WHEAT research scope and structure from global partners' meeting, CIMMYT-ICARDA meeting, WHEAT-ISC feedback?
- What conclusions can we draw and what major open issues remain?

Summary

- 1. Go for shorter, crisper FP titles in Phase II.
- 2. In **FP1** WHEAT Business Case, address and improve post-harvest issues. Flag in FP1/CoA descriptions.
- 3. Keep IWYP and HeDWIC as separate CoAs under FP2. Do not move to FP3. Give both CoAs more generic titles and insert programs (IWYP, HeDWIC) under each CoA.
- 4. Add new **FP2** CoA on genebanks: Conserve, enrich, and provide access to wheat genetic resources to mine for useful traits
- 5. No separate, new CoA for Transgenics.
- 6. Keep CoAs 3.4 and 3.5 separate (3.4 Precision field-based Phenotyping Platforms for key traits to support the Global Breeding Platform / 3.5 Accelerate breeding cycle through genomics, improved bioinformatics, and data management)
- 7. Reword **FP3.**6: Genetic improvement to contribute to nutritional food <u>quality</u> and safety
- 8. Re-number **FP4** CoAs:
 - a. 4.1 (was 4.3) Development and field testing of innovative agronomic <u>technologies</u> including crop rotation or diversification (has 6 sub-categories)
 - b. 4.2 (was 4.1) Multi-scale farming system framework to better integrate & enhance adoption of sustainable intensification options for cropping systems, <u>including at landscape scales</u>
 - c. 4.3 (was 4.2) Participatory approaches to adapt and integrate technological components
- 9. Reword **FP5**: Human and institutional capacities for scaling-out & a new generation of wheat scientists (e.g. take out 'seed systems', which should remain a seperate CoA)
- 10. Reword FP5.3: Wheat University and WHEAT Volunteers OR Wheat Learning Platform: To build the next generation of scientists <u>and farmers.</u>

Discussion

Reword FP titles

- FP3&4 are WHEAT/CIMMYT/ICARDA 'bread and butter', what you'd be doing, if you had less budget and had to focus only on 'essentials'
- When rewording FP4, make clear linkages to other CRPs
- Crisp and short titles should encompass all core R&D performed in Phase II

<u>FP2</u>

- IWYP aims for milestone change re: yield and Hybrids CoA aims for novel hybrid system. Both represent high risk / high return R&D and should stay in FP2.
- Once Hybrids CoA has gotten to stage of creating hybrids routinely, CoA could move to FP3.



RESEARCH PROGRAM ON



- Keep Genebanks as a separate CoA, to make sure it continues to get W1 funding. This will seem logical to 'quick readers' of Phase II proposal.
- Don't put HeDWIC under a pre-breeding CoA, as it is closely linked to Precision Phenotyping Platforms (PWPPs) CoA in FP3, it is multi-trait R&D, the focus is on germplasm development including better exploiting genetic resources, with R&D outputs going to FP3.
- If IWYP stays in FP2, so should HeDWIC. It features crop design and pre-breeding components. It is not up and running yet. It will be different from heat & drought-related research-for-development performed under FP3, which is much closer to NARS testing & release and farmer adoption.
- Transgenics are a part of several CoAs: IWYP, HeDWIC, Hybrids. Mgmt of transgenics would indeed be a new issue, potentially new CoA. But that would only apply once there will be applications, when WHEAT has a product.
- Do not apply new FP2 CoA logic proposed by work group during WHEAT global partners meeting. It will be too difficult to report against, as several projects under FP2 would cut across the 'new' CoA categories.

<u>FP3</u>

- At this time, need to flag Precision Phenotyping Platforms, as they are being built. Keep as separate CoA, as technically / in terms of disciplines very different from Genomic Selection / Bioinformatics CoA (under FP3.5).
- Problem with 3.5 (GS/bioinformatics) is WHEAT does not show that bioinformatics and data management are needed for practically all other CoAs across the FPs.
- 3.5 should not just be about Genomic Selection. "Big Data" is a fashion. Big Data should prominently feature in Phase II proposal preamble.

<u>FP4</u>

- FP4 does not explicitly refer to seed systems, so put it into FP5
- FP5 is about scaling-out of any kind, not only FP4-related outputs/research outcomes
- Renumbering FP4 CoAs is ok, to reflect logic of starting with new/improved technologies/practices, evaluating their potential impact via scaling-out at landscapes level, and developing new ways of participatory validation & scaling-out.
- Keep 'sustainable intensification' in FP4 title: Sustainable intensification of wheat systems (short title)

<u>FP5</u>

- Add 'farmers' to CoA 5.3 (WHEAT University)
- Good to flag 5.1.3 (post-harvest issues). Post-harvest will become more important donor-driven issue, so keep visible, but for now under FP1 as a matter for analysis
- Impact pathway for CoA5.1 is: Demand from NARS, including for training (capacity development) and policy/legislation advice scale out seed production & delivery, efficient seed systems including PPP and value chain approaches, NOT about seed systems analysis (see FP1)
- How and where can we report on 5.1.1 5.3.2. (proposed new CoA logic for FP5)?
- Take out seed systems from FP5 title, but keep in CoA title. In future, FP5 wil be about scalingout of many technologies and practices, not only seed systems, even though today seed systems projects dominate FP5.

Wheat



ToRs of the WHEAT-ISC Chair (includes Members' comments 10th Dec 2014)

The Chairperson is elected every two years from the sitting WHEAT-ISC voting members (e.g. non-CGIAR members, who are not ex-officio) by a majority vote of the voting members. Given the importance of continuity, a Chairperson once elected may be expected to be re-elected for a second two-year term only, but such re-election is subject to annual assessment of performance by the entire Committee.

Qualifications for the Chairperson – The person nominated should generally possess:

- Experience on the W-ISC for at least two years;
- Eminence (or recognized standing) in a field relevant to the Research Strategies of the CRP;
- Personal qualities of leadership, including skill at chairing meetings and building consensus;
- Experience in working with persons from varied cultural backgrounds;
- Ability to represent WHEAT in international meetings; and
- Familiarity with the CGIAR System and with the donor community.
- In addition, the Chair must have the time and the scheduling flexibility to be able to devote four to eight weeks each year to WHEAT and the CGIAR.

Key role: The Chairperson presides at all meetings of the WHEAT-ISC; He/she is responsible for the good functioning of the W-ISC and that it performs according to its Terms of Reference. To do so, the Chairperson, in collaboration with the CRP Director:

- Ensures and monitors implementation of WHEAT-ISC recommendations and decisions;
- > The Chair oversees the prioritization of issues and preparation of meeting agendas;
- Ensures WHEAT-ISC Members are kept abreast of deliberations of the CGIAR Consortium Board, the Independent Science and Partnership Council (ISPC) and other CGIAR entities, as relevant and appropriate;
- Issues invitations on behalf of the W-ISC to new members and ensures their orientation and onboarding.

If needed, the Chair will nominate a voting member to assume the responsibilities of the Chairperson. The Chair is supported by the CIMMYT and ICARDA CRP Teams.

CGIAR

RESEARCH PROGRAM ON Wheat



WHEAT-Independent Advisory Committee (IAC) Terms of Reference

WHEAT-ISC vision

- 1) Fully functional CGIAR Research Program (CRP);
- 2) WHEAT = single most important network organization for wheat;
- 3) Better visibility of WHEAT, in particular viz Fund Council;
- 4) Enable every single wheat research program in the world to link with WHEAT, as appropriate.

Advisory scope:

- 1) The W-ISC provides guidance on the CRPs' strategic direction (e.g. science / research scope, depth/breadth, focus, intended impact) and effective and efficient management at program-level (e.g. CRP). In so doing, it will largely focus on the program (e.g. cross-Flagship Projects) perspective and how WHEAT success comes together based on mutually reinforcing FP's performance, whilst the WHEAT-MC concerns itself also with Flagship Project components and how their success comes together.
- 2) The W-ISC reviews and makes recommendations on WHEAT annual workplans, budgets & progress reports, which are prepared, agreed upon and submitted by the CRP Director, Chair of the WHEAT-MC; "review" means that the CRP Director, representing the WHEAT-MC, carries the primary operational responsibility towards the Consortium Board/Office; WHEAT-ISC wants to see how it is being done.

Торіс	Provide advice on	To whom
Strategy	Strategic direction (next 5-10 years);	Lead Center's (CIMMYT)
	How strategy is reviewed;	Board of Trustees' Program
	Need for/focus of external, Center-, CRP-	Committee for endorsement
	commissioned reviews;	and/or
	Relevant CGIAR Consortium guidelines,	CRP Director (Chair WHEAT-
	regulations (e.g. push for change, improvements?)	MC), for implementation
R&D Partners'	That R&D partners perspectives are reflected in	Lead Center BoT PC and/or
perspectives	CRP strategy development (in terms of content	CRP Director
	and process)	
Annual Workplan,	Does resource allocation match strategic priorities	CRP Director (Chair WHEAT-
Budget, Progress	at program level (across FPs)?	MC)
Report		
CRP Director / W-	Selection of candidates (see below); effective &	Lead Center DG
MC performance	efficient management at program-level (e.g. need	
	for improvement or change?);	
Conflicts of	Act as or advise on next level of escalation, if	W-MC, Center DGs
interest	cannot be solved at W-MC, Centers' level (e.g.	
	Lead Center bias; competitive bidding processes)	

3) W-ISC advises the Lead Center's (CIMMYT) Board of Trustees' Program Committee and/or the CRP Director (who chairs the WHEAT Management Committee (W-MC) and/or the Lead Center's Director-General (who supervises and manages the performance of the CRP Director) on the key topics shown in table above. In general, BoT PC endorses (or not) W-ISC advice, whilst the CRP Director, leading WHEAT-MC, is responsible for implementing advice, once endorsed.

Advise whom?



RESEARCH PROGRAM ON



4) With regard to hiring and performance management of the CRP Director, W-ISC will form a selection committee with CIMMYT DG, who will have the final say on selection and appointment. The CRP Director reports administratively to the Lead Center DG and with a dotted line to W-ISC. CRP Director's performance will therefore be managed by Lead Center DG, who seeks advice from W-ISC, with input from ICARDA DG (ex-officio non-voting W-ISC member).

Committee functioning:

- a) It consists of 8 external (e.g. non-CGIAR) voting members representing different geographies (East, Asia, S. Asia, CWANA, SSA and Latin America one each; three from Eurasia/AU/USA/CA) and disciplines, and 5 ex-officio non-voting members: ICARDA & CIMMYT Board Program Committee Chairs, WHEAT CRP Director plus CIMMYT and ICARDA Director-Generals.
- b) Are appointed for a 3-year term (renewable), with a maximum of 50% of members changing at any one time.
 - Forfeit their membership, if not present twice in a row, not excused.
 - For the initial period of Oct 2012 to Oct 2014, at least 50% of current members will need to remain members for a 2nd term & propose and agree upon new members to join the W-ISC by end 2014.
- c) In order to validate decisions, the W-ISC will apply majority vote under a quorum of 6/8.
- d) W-ISC will practice a transparent (formal) agenda-setting process (see Chair ToR).
- e) In its advisory role, reports via its Chair to the WHEAT Lead Center Board of Trustees Program Committee.
- f) Is chaired by a voting member, who is selected from among the voting members by simple majority (see W-ISC Chairperson ToR), since ex-officio members are not eligible to chair or vote.
- g) W-ISC Secretary performed by the WHEAT Program Manager.
- h) W-ISC members are champions for WHEAT within their area of influence, in particular their country and region. Based on disciplinary expertise or regional activities, W-ISC members may want to attend WHEAT-relevant meetings or meetings in their region; such attendance to be determined by the Chair, in consultation with the CRP Director (see Chair ToR).
- As a prerequisite, the CRP Director must keep W-ISC members regularly informed about progress and issues in WHEAT, wheat research more generally and in particular as it affects their region. At meetings and in-between, the CRP Director informs W-ISC members about targets, achievements and future plans.